

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,967	05/08/2001	Stuart A. Newman	51230-00601	51230-00601 1338	
25243 75	90 01/21/2004		EXAMINER		
COLLIER SHANNON SCOTT, PLLC			YU, MISOOK		
3050 K STREET SUITE 400	I, NW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON	WASHINGTON, DC 20007		1642	*	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/849,967	NEWMAN ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examin r	Art Unit				
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	r ss			
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the con	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriation or the final to the fina	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		ling date of the final rejec	ction, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): scope of enablement rejection for claims 29 and 30.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Application						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. Solution For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,21,29,30 and 55-64</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 31-36 and 43-54.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		Misook Yu, 1/16/20	04			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations "in trans" in the base claims 1, 29, 57,59, 61, 63, and "hn RNP A1B" and "hnRNP A2" in claims 56, 58, 60, 62, and 64 require further search.

( GARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600